

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMURCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P. D. Dox 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

998 JOHN Q. ADAMS					
	1	6273			
09/24/2003					
	EXAM	EXAMINER			
	PARADISO, Jo	PARADISO, JOHN ROGER			
2 17.10	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	3721	01			
	DATE MAILED: 09/24/2003	\mathcal{A}			
,	09/24/2003 ADIE LDING E 4710	ADIE PARADISO, JO E 4710 ART UNIT			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_		•		0-			
		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/179,945		ADAMS ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		John R. Paradiso		3721				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
Period fo	• •	/ IO OFT TO EVDIDE	2 MONTH(S) EDOM				
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, sply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, more within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6 cause the application to become	nay a reply be tim of thirty (30) days) MONTHS from me ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 J	uly 2003 .						
2a)⊠	<u> </u>	is action is non-final.						
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
-	on of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 3-21 is/are pending in the application.								
	la) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from consideration	•					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) 1 and 3-21 is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers								
• •	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Buree the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2)	a)).		Stage			
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic				l application).			
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application h	as been rec	eived.				
Attachment		· · · · · ·						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Noti	ce of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT				
S. Patent and Tr	adamark Office							

Application/Control Number: 09/179,945

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 3-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over BIRENBAUM ET AL in view of NORMAN ET AL (US 5702305).
- 3. BIRENBAUM ET AL discloses a portable programmable apparatus for aiding a player in a game of bingo. The apparatus comprises a processor (26) with port connections for various I/0, memory, and power functions. The processor receives instructions from an input device comprising input keys (18) that allow the user to enter data associated with the game. The processor also receives information from a memory module (14) which contains stored blocks of data representing the configurations and serial numbers of a large set of bingo cards (both regular and paper cards) as well as the possible winning configurations. The processor sends data to an output device in the form of a display (16) that so that game information can be read by the user. (See BIRENBAUM ET AL columns 1-4 and figures 1 and 3.)
- 4. BIRENBAUM ET AL does not disclose the use of a security feature to prevent unauthorized access to stored data, the use of rechargeable batteries with a recharging circuit, the specific voltages used to power the apparatus, the specific winning combinations, or the storage

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/179,945

Art Unit: 3721

of specific card schedules. BIRENBAUM ET AL also does not disclose using the apparatus to communicate with other similar apparatus' with a communications protocol.

- 5. NORMAN ET AL discloses a game system comprising a plurality of portable game aids (32), each with means for a user to input and receive instructions concerning a game. Each of the portable game aids is also provided with a transmitting/receiving means to enable each player to transmit/receive blocks of data representing game information or personal information between each other player via their portable game aid. The portable game aids can also transmit/receive information blocks between themselves and a first computer, designated the master unit. (See NORMAN ET AL column 3 lines 21-54, column 4 lines 25-30, and Figure 3.)
- 6. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of NORMAN ET AL to use a communication protocol to connect to the processor of another user's portable game aid, as taught by NORMAN ET AL, to enable a user to interact with another game player by sharing or using their information (stored in the memory of their portable game aid) to increase the enjoyment and competitive spirit of the game, and also to enable the swift and accurate validation and payout upon fulfilling a winning combination in the case of interacting with a master unit
- 7. Regarding claim 5, the use of passwords and passcodes is well known in the art to secure any form of data stored on a computer and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of BIRENBAUM ET AL and NORMAN ET AL to connect a security feature to the processor to prevent unauthorized access to the stored information of the apparatus.

Application/Control Number: 09/179,945 Page 4

Art Unit: 3721

8. Regarding claim 7, the use of rechargeable batteries is well known in the art to provide power to handheld electronic devices and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of BIRENBAUM ET AL and NORMAN ET AL to use rechargeable batteries that can be recharged by an external power source. Note that BIRENBAUM ET AL does specifically disclose the possibility of connecting the apparatus to an external power source.

- 9. Regarding claim 8, the use of low voltage direct current to power electronic equipment is well known in the art. Further, the use of specific voltages to power specific components depending upon their makeup and operational needs is also well known. The availability of -17Vdc, +5Vdc, and +12Vdc from the power supply to power the electronic components of the apparatus is an obvious matter of engineering design choice, since Applicant has not disclosed that the use of these particular voltages (which are common voltage requirements for many electronic components) solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with any voltages that would meet the needs of the electronic components used in the apparatus.
- 10. Regarding claim 12, BIRENBAUM ET AL discloses the storage of common bingo win patterns in the memory. While BIRENBAUM ET AL does not specifically disclose which patterns these are, the winning combinations of X shape, picture frame, fill-up, U-shape, and C-shape are well known to those skilled in the art (as well as to all bingo players) and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to program the memory of the combination of BIRENBAUM ET AL and NORMAN ET AL to know these winning combinations.

Application/Control Number: 09/179,945

Art Unit: 3721

11. Regarding claim 16, BIRENBAUM ET AL specifically discloses that the processor receives information from a memory module which contains the configurations and serial numbers of a large set of bingo cards. While the specifics of type, brand, cut, and collation are not disclosed, the use of electronic memory to store collations of this sort is well known in the art (storing collatable databases is, in fact, one of the primary purposes of computers) and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to store in the memory module of BIRENBAUM ET AL a set of bingo cards cross-references by serial number, type, brand, cut, and collation in order to enable the game master to use the specific type of card for which they are licensed or simply used to.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/179,945 Page 6

Art Unit: 3721

Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments filed 7/9/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

13. Applicant states on page 8 of his Response that "For a claim to be obvious under 35 U.S.C. 103, each limitation must be taught or suggested by the prior art reference." Applicant then recites claim 1 with the following sections highlighted:

"a processor", which is shown in BIRENBAUM ET AL, Fig. 3, as (26);

"a readable memory having prestored blocks of data representative of predetermined numbers and also representative of predetermined patterns furnished from a media having magnetic coded information which is accessible by means movable relative to said information of said media", which is shown in BIRENBAUM ET AL Fig. 1 as the memory module (14), which contains the recited blocks of data as magnetic coded information and is also movable relative to the media (i.e., removable);

"means connected to one of said ports for providing an interactive dialogue between a player using the apparatus and said processor", which is shown in BIRENBAUM ET AL Fig. 1 as port connections for various I/0, memory, and power functions. BIRENBAUM ET AL also shows input keys (18) that allow the user to enter data associated with the game (which I/O can be read as a port to the processor). The processor also receives information from a memory module (14) via a module input port. The processor sends data to an output device in the form of a display (16) (which I/O can also be read as a port from the processor) that so that game information can be read by the user.

Art Unit: 3721

14. Applicant states on page 8 of his Response that "The Birenbaum reference does not suggest 'a readable memory having prestored blocks of data (element b). In fact, the Birenbaum reference teaches away from the prestoring such information in the device (10) by providing a separate memory module (14) that is selected in accordance with a specific set of cards."

However, the claims do not recite the prestored blocks of data as resident in the device that houses the processor. Claim 1, for instance, recites "a readable memory having prestored blocks of data representative of predetermined numbers and also representative of predetermined patterns furnished from a media having magnetic coded information which is accessible by means movable relative to said information of said media" and the memory module of BIRENBAUM ET AL reads on that aspect of the claims.

Examiner also notes that it has been held that forming in one piece an article which has formerly been formed in two pieces and put together involves only routine skill in the art.

Howard v. Detroit Stove Works, 150 U.S. 164 (1893).

15. Applicant states on page 9 of his Response that "Similarly, the Norman reference does not teach or suggest a device with 'a readable memory having prestored blocks of data' since no data ... are stored or are capable of being stored in the personal display units".

However, NORMAN ET AL is used in the above rejection only to teach the use of a communication protocol to connect to the processor of another user's portable game aid to enable a user to interact with another game player by sharing or using their information (stored in the memory of their portable game aid) to increase the enjoyment and competitive spirit of the

Application/Control Number: 09/179,945 Page 8

Art Unit: 3721

game, and also to enable the swift and accurate validation and payout upon fulfilling a winning combination in the case of interacting with a master unit, so the argument is moot.

Conclusion

16. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/179,945

Art Unit: 3721

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 17. examiner should be directed to John Paradiso. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday, 9:30 p.m. - 6:00 p.m. (ET).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada, can be reached at the number listed below.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the 3700 Technology Center Receptionist.

Examinet John Paradiso: (703) 308-2825

Additional Phone Numbers:

Supervisor Rinaldi Rada: (703) 308-2187 (703) 308-1148 TC 3700 Receptionist: **Customer Service:** (703) 306-5648 Fax (TC 3700 Official): (703) 872-9302 Fax (TC 3700 After Final): (703) 872-9303

September 17, 2003

Primary Examiner